THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS BOARD

In the Matter of:		
Rainbow Zebra, LLC t/a Rush		
Holder of a Retailer's Class CT License		License No.: ABRA-134289 Order No.: 2026-216
at premises 2001 14th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20009		

BEFORE: Donovan Anderson, Chairperson
Silas Grant, Jr., Member
Teri Janine Quinn, Member
Ryan Jones, Member
David Meadows, Member

ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST AND STAY OF APPLICATION

This notice constitutes a Cease-and-Desist Order issued by the Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board (Board) pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 25-829. This Cease-and-Desist Order applies to Rainbow Zebra, LLC, t/a Rush (Respondent), holder of a Retailer's Class CT License No. ABRA-134289.

The basis of this Order is that a review of the Board's official records by the Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Administration (ABCA) has determined that the Respondent's filed a false clean hands tax document rendering the renewal application incomplete and the Board deems the application not filed and rejected. 23 DCMR § 500.1 (West Supp. 2026). This determination was made based on the investigative report reviewed by the Board on its investigative agenda on February 11, 2026 (Item #3).

ORDER

Therefore, the Board on this 11th day of February 2026, the Board orders the following:

1. Pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 25-829 the Respondent is therefore **ORDERED** by the Board on this 11th day of February 2026, to **STOP selling, serving, or permitting the consumption of alcoholic beverages at the Respondent's establishment** until a compliant clean hands document is filed. The Respondent may not allow the sale, service, or permit the consumption of alcoholic beverages on the licensed premises until the ABC License is reinstated by the Board.

2. This matter is **REFERRED** to the Office of the Attorney General for the District of Columbia for review to determine if show cause and criminal action is warranted.

3. All application proceedings shall be **STAYED** pending the resolution of all pending enforcement actions against the Respondent.

A copy of this Order is being forwarded to the Metropolitan Police Department and D.C. licensed Wholesalers to ensure compliance. Failure to comply with this Order will subject the Respondent to the maximum civil penalties provided by the law.

District of Columbia
Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board

Donovan Anderson, Chairperson

Silas Grant, Jr., Member

Teri Janine Quinn

Teri Janine Quinn, Member

Ryan Jones, Member

David Meadows, Member

Any party adversely affected may file a Motion for Reconsideration of this decision or other motion permitted by law within ten days of service of this Order. If a motion is filed, the opposing party may file a response within seven days. If a response is filed, the movant may file a reply within three days. All filings should be served on all parties to the matter and delivered to the Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Administration, 899 North Capitol Street, N.E., Suite 4200-A, Washington, D.C. 20002, or sent by email to abca.legal@dc.gov. Parties are further advised that the failure to properly serve the other parties or to present all matters of record that have allegedly been erroneously decided in a motion for reconsideration may result in the waiver of those matters being considered by the Board. The Board also reserves the right to summarily deny or not consider multiple and repetitive motions.

In addition to filing a Motion for Reconsideration, pursuant to § 11 of the District of Columbia Administrative Procedure Act, Pub. L. 90-614, 82 Stat. 1209, D.C. Official Code § 2-510 (2001), and Rule 15 of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, a party that is adversely affected may have the right to appeal this Order by filing a petition for review, within 30 days of the date of service of this Order, with the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, located at 430 E Street,

N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001. Parties are advised that the timely filing of a Motion for Reconsideration stays the time for filing a petition for review in the District of Columbia Court of Appeals until the Board rules on the motion. *See* D.C. App. Rule 15(b) (2004).

Parties are also advised that the Superior Court of the District of Columbia may have jurisdiction to hear appeals in non-contested cases or in matters where that court is specifically provided jurisdiction by law. Finally, advisory neighborhood commissions (ANCs) are advised that their right to appeal or challenge a decision of the Board may be limited by the laws governing ANCs. *See e.g.*, D.C. Code § 1-309.10(g).